arXiv:1412.1211v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 3 Dec 2014

Criticality in Fiber Bundle Model

Subhadeep Roy[1,*] and Purusattam Ray[1,†]

[1] *The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Taramani, CIT Campus, Chennai 600113*

(Dated: December 4, 2014)

We report a novel critical behavior in the breakdown of an equal load sharing fiber bundle model at a dispersion δ_c of the breaking threshold of the fibers. For $\delta < \delta_c$, there is a finite probability P_b, that rupturing of the weakest fiber leads to the failure of the entire system. For $\delta \geq \delta_c$, $P_b = 0$. At $\delta_c, P_b \sim L^{-\eta}$, with $\eta \approx 1/3$, where L is the size of the system. As $\delta \to \delta_c$, the relaxation time τ diverges obeying the finite size scaling law: $\tau \sim L^{\beta}(|\delta - \delta_c|L^{\alpha})$ with $\alpha, \beta = 0.33 \pm 0.05$. At δ_c, the system fails, at the critical load, in avalanches (of rupturing fibers) of all sizes s following the distribution $P(s) \sim s^{-\kappa}$, with $\kappa = 0.50 \pm 0.01$. We relate this critical behavior to brittle to quasi-brittle transition.

PACS numbers: 64.60.av

Fiber bundle model has received lots of attention over the years, as it is one of the simplest model that captures various importatnt aspects of the complicated problem of fracture 1. Avalanche dynamics of brittle fracture and of plastic deformation, stress concentration, extreme statistics and related system size effect are some telltale features of fracture which are reproduced in this model. The model provides general understanding and insight of the physics of broad range of threshold activated dynamical systems. Here, we report another, hitherto unexplored, aspect of the model: a novel critical behavior in terms of the fluctuation in the disorder in the system. The criticality is directly related to the brittle to quasi-brittle transition in the model and have practical relevance in the context of such transitons in engineering brittle materials.

Our study is based on the equal load sharing fiber bundle model 1 which consists essentially of Hookean fibers attached between two parallel bars. The bars are pulled apart with a force which induces a stress on the fibers. Each fiber sustains a stress up to a threshold (chosen randomly from a distribution) beyond which it breaks irreversibly. Once a fiber breaks, the stress of the fiber is redistributed equally among all the surviving fibers. This enhances the stress on the fibers and can cause further failure of some fibers and the rupturing process continues. Otherwise, the system attains a stable state with few broken fibers, when further increment of external load is required to make the fracture evolve. The evolution of fracture takes place in series of avalanches (burst) of rupturing of fibers as the stress is increased. The stress at which failure of the entire system happens is termed as the critical stress or strength of the system.

In this letter, we study the fracture process in the model by tuning the width δ of the distribution $P(x)$ of the rupture threshold of the fibers. At low values of δ, the failure of the system occurs, typically, starting from the rupturing of a single fiber, the fiber with minimum breaking threshold. Rupturing of one fiber triggers rupturing of several other fibers and the process continues till all the fibers are ruptured and the system fails. On the other hand, for large values of δ, failure occurs through series of stable states at different stress levels. As the applied load is raised, the system goes through the states in succession of avalanches of rupturing of fibers till the critical stress σ_c, when the remaining fraction n_c of fibers ruptures bringing failure of the entire system. We ask the following questions, (i) is there a critical value δ_c demarcating these two regimes, (ii) what are the features of the transition at δ_c and (iii) what are the manifestations of the transition on the properties of the model and its relevance to the fracture properties in engineeering materials?

Here, we present results for uniform distribution of $P(x)$ over the window from a to $(a+2\delta)$ within the range [0,1]. For uniform distribution, the possibility of a δ_c has been mentioned before [2]. We show, analytically, for the above distribution, $\delta_c = a/2$. For $\delta \leq \delta_c$, there is a finite probability P_b that rupturing of the weakest fiber leads to the failure of the entire system. $P_b \to 0$ as $\delta \to \delta_c$. At $\delta_c, P_b \sim L^{-\eta}$, with $\eta \approx 1/3$, where L is the size of the system. We further show that, as δ approaches δ_c, the relaxation time τ diverges as $\tau \sim |\delta - \delta_c|^{-1}$ and the evolution of fracture becomes extremely slow. At δ_c, the failure of the system occurs in succession of avalanches of urpturing of fibers. The avalanche size distribution $P(s)$ shows a scale free behavior and follows $P(s) \sim s^{-\kappa}$ with $\kappa \approx 1/2$.

At an applied stress σ_{ext}, the fraction of unbroken bond n_u satisfies,

$$1 - n_u = \int_0^{\sigma_r} P(x)dx, \tag{1}$$

where $\sigma_r = \sigma_{ext}/n_u$ is the redistributed stress over the intact fibers. This gives,

$$n_u = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{a}{2\delta}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1 + \frac{a}{2\delta}\right)^2 - \frac{4\sigma_{ext}}{2\delta}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{2}$$

At the failure point above equation has only one solution. This gives the critical stress σ_c and critical fraction n_c of

the fibers at the failure point :

$$\sigma_c = \frac{2\delta}{4}\left(1+\frac{a}{2\delta}\right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad n_c = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{a}{2\delta}\right). \tag{3}$$

For $\delta \leq \delta_c$ the fracture is abrupt ($n_c = 1$). This gives,

$$\delta_c = a/2. \tag{4}$$

We now prove the critical divergence of τ as $\delta \to \delta_c$. From the dynamics of the system, the fraction n_{t+1} of unbroken fibers at time step $(t+1)$ is expressed in terms of the fraction n_t at time t as:

$$n_{t+1}(\sigma_{ext}) = \frac{1}{(2\delta)}\left[a + 2\delta - \frac{\sigma_{ext}}{n_t(\sigma_{ext})}\right]. \tag{5}$$

At δ_c, $\sigma_{ext} = a$. We solve the above equation for a slight deviation of $n_t(\sigma)$ from the critical point n_c $(= n_t(\sigma_c))$ by an amount Δn such that : $n_t(\sigma) = n_c + \Delta n$ $(\Delta n \to 0)$. Solving the above equation we get,

$$\Delta n = Ae^{-t/\tau}, \tag{6}$$

where A is a constant and $\tau \sim (\delta - \delta_c)^{-1}$.

To get better insight of the nature of the transition, we have studied the transition numerically. For simplicity, we have taken an uniform distribution for $P(x)$ of half width δ and mean at 0.5. For this distribution $\delta_c = 1/6$ (eqn.(4)). Numerical results are obtained on 10^4 configuration averages and for system sizes ranging from 10^2 to 10^6. Figure 1 shows n_u for different values of applied



FIG. 1: (Color online) Contour plot for the response of the model to external stress. Black corresponds to the system with all the fibers broken ($n_u = 0$). Yellow corresponds to the initial condition where all fibers are intact ($n_u = 1$). The color gradient is the partially broken phase ($0 < n_u < 1$).

stress σ_{ext} and δ. Here, the black region denotes the system after complete failure ($n_u = 0$) and yellow region represents the initial condition where all fibers are intact ($n_u = 1$). The color gradient is the partially broken phase ($0 < n_u < 1$). σ_{ext} at the boundary of the black and yellow region denotes the critical stress σ_c. For $\delta < \delta_c$, σ_c follows a straight line given by $a = 0.5 - \delta$. This is the region where we go from the yellow to black region by a single jump. For $\delta > \delta_c$ the critical stress deviates from the straight line and approaches to $\sigma_c = 0.25$ at $\delta = 0.5$ (1). In this region, fracture evolves through a series of partially broken stable phases at different levels of applied load.

Due to finite size of the system, even below δ_c, global failure (rupture of all the fibers spanning the entire system) is not always obtained starting from the rupture of the weakest fiber. We determine P_b, the probability of system spanning fracture starting from the rupture of the weakest fiber, for various δ values and for various system sizes (see fig.2). For a particular system size L, we define



FIG. 2: Plot of P_b with (δ) for system sizes $L = 100$ (oplus), 500 (square), 1000 (diamond), 5000 (triangle) and 10000 (star).

the critical δ-value $\delta_c(L)$ at which P_b goes to zero. It is quite clear that $\delta_c(L)$ has a system size dependence and approaches to $\delta_c = \delta_c(\infty)$ as $L \to \infty$. We find that $\delta_c(L)$ satisfies the finite-size scaling relation:

$$\delta_c(L) = \delta_c(\infty) + bL^{-1/\nu}, \tag{7}$$

where the exponent $1/\nu$ has a value 0.33 ± 0.02 and b is a constant. The error bar is determined from the least square data fitting.

Fig.3 presents the variation of P_b with system sizes in the neighborhood of δ_c. For $\delta < \delta_c$, P_b tends to saturate and there is always a non-zero probability of rupturing of all the fibers starting from the single most vulnerable one. On the other hand, for $\delta > \delta_c$, P_b falls off sharply to zero and probability of having an abrupt fracture vanishes in the thermodynamic limit ($L \to \infty$). At critical disorder P_b shows a scale free behavior:

$$P(b) \sim L^{-\eta} \tag{8}$$



FIG. 3: Variation of P_b with system size for $\delta = 0.15$ (diamond), 0.1666 (square) and 0.18 (oplus). As a reference the plot with power law exponent $\eta \approx 0.33$ (black solid line) is also given.

with an exponent $\eta = 0.33 \pm 0.01$. The growth of fracture at δ_c is similar to the growth of incipient infinite cluster in various other statistical mechanical models like the growth of percolaing cluster at percolation threshold [3].

To determine τ, we apply the minimum load that is needed to rupture the weakest fiber and the system is allowed to evolve (keeping the load constant) till it fails or reaches a stable state with partially broken bonds. τ is determined as the number of times the load is to be distributed among the unbroken fibers over the evolution of the system. Fig.4 shows the variation of relaxation time τ with δ for different system sizes. τ shows a maximum at $\delta = \delta_c(L)$ for system size L. As L increases, the maximum value increases and the increament becomes sharper and sharper. Below δ_c the system experiences



FIG. 4: Plot of relaxation time τ with δ for system sizes $L = 10000$ (oplus), 30000 (square), 50000 (diamond) and 100000 (triangle). At the inset $\tau L^{-\beta}$ is plotted against $(\delta - \delta_c)L^{1/\nu}$.

an abrupt failure within a few redistributing time steps starting from the rupture of the weakest fiber. On the other hand, for $\delta > \delta_c$, due to a wide range of threshold values, the minimum stress corresponing to the weakest fiber is not sufficient to break all the fibers in the system. Staring from some intitial rupturing of the fibers, the system comes a stable configuration after few redistribution step for the stress. In both these cases, τ is finite.

At $\delta = \delta_c$, the divergence of τ with the system size is found to obey the finite size scaling form:

$$\tau \sim L^{\beta}\Phi\big((\delta - \delta_c)L^{1/\nu}\big), \tag{9}$$

where $\beta = 0.33 \pm 0.02$. Here, we have used the exponent ν from the perspective of a correlation length exponent. The scaling function $\Phi(x)$ assumes constant value for $x = 0$ and for large x. Accordingly, at δ_c, $\tau \sim L^{\beta}$, and close to δ_c,

$$\tau \sim (\delta - \delta_c)^{-\gamma}, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = \beta/\alpha = 1. \tag{10}$$

The scaled τ is shown in the inset of fig.4. The scaling is sensitive to the choice of the value of the exponent β, which determines the error bar of it by simply checking the collapse in the scaling.

Figure 5 shows the avalanche size distribution $P(s)$ verses the avalanche sizes s. We start from applying a low load on the system, sufficient enough to rupture only the weakest fiber. Once a fiber is ruptered, the stress is redistributed among the remaining unbroken fibers. The breaking of fibers between any two consecutive stress redistribution steps consitute an avalanche and the number of fibers broken gives the size of the avalanche. For



FIG. 5: Distribution of size of avalanches (s) prior to the application of minimum stress for disorder value in the neighborhood of δ_c, typically $\delta = 0.15$ (oplus), 0.1666 (square) and 0.18 (diamond). Reference line with power law exponent $\kappa = 0.5 \pm 0.01$ is also given.

$\delta < \delta_c$, the distribution tends to level off (upto a certain avalanche size) showing big avalanches are equally probable. In this region, after few big avalanches, the

system fails completely within few time steps. In the region $\delta > \delta_c$, the distribution falls off very fast showing that, in this region, the system ceases to evolve after few small avalanches starting from the rupturing of the weakest fiber. At $\delta = \delta_c$ the distribution is a power law:

$$P(s) \sim s^{-\kappa}, \tag{11}$$

where the exponent is given as $\kappa = 0.5 \pm 0.01$.

In equal load sharing fiber bundle model, we see yet a novel critical behaviour at a critical disorder δ_c in the threshold strength of the individual fibers. The exponent values suggest that the critical behavior is in a different universality class than the behavior the model shows at the fracture point at the critical load σ_c for $\delta > \delta_c$. For $\delta < \delta_c$, the model breaks abruptly. If each fiber breaks in a brittle manner, the overall fracture behavior of the entire system will also be brittle. For $\delta > \delta_c$, The fracturing of the system occurs througha series of partially broken stable phases which brings nonlinearity in the stress-strain behavior of the system and we get quasi-brittle like continuous fracture. δ_c, in the model, corresponds to the brittle to quasi-brittle transition point.

Fracture in quasi-brittle materials has tremendous technological relevance and and as a result has received lots of attention in the past 6, 7. Brittle materials, like glass, ceramics, wood, do not show much deformation under stress and fracture abruptly 8. Here, fracture is determined primarily by the nucleation of a vulnerable crack that spans through the system causing total failure. In heterogeneous materials, the quasi-brittle fracturing occurs by microcracking of the medium. It is characterized by complex temporal and spatial organizations of microcracks. This produces intermittency in the damage events and localization 7.

We find that the transition at δ_c is marked by the divergence of relaxation time and fracture by creep. The creep phenomena and the avalanches in creep have been observed at the brittle to ductile transition point. It will be worth investigating to what extent the transition, that is observed in the simple fiber bundle model, is related to the brittle to quasi-brittle or ductile transition in engineering materials.

* Electronic address: sroy@imsc.res.in
† Electronic address: ray@imsc.res.in

[1] Srutarshi Pradhan, Alex Hansen, and Bikas K. Chakrabarti, *Failure processes in elastic fiber bundles*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, March 2010.

[2] Jrgen Vitting Andersen, Didier Sornette, and Kwan-tai Leung, *Tricritical Behavior in Rupture Induced by Disorder*, Phys. Rev. Lett. Volume **78**, Number **11**, September 1996.

[3] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony *Intoduction to Percolation*

[4] Srutarshi Pradhan and Per C. Hemmer, *Relaxation dynamics in strained fiber bundles*, Phys. Rev. E **75**, **056112**, May 2007.

[5] Chandreyee Roy, Sumanta Kundu, and S. S. Manna, *Scaling forms for relaxation times of the fiber bundle model*, Phys. Rev. E **87**, **062137**, June 2013.

[6] Dieter, George Ellwood, *Fracture of Brittle Solids*, New York, McGraw-Hill.

[7] Z. Bazant and J. Planas, *Fracture and Size Effect in Concrete and other Quasibrittle Materials*, Boca Raton and London, CRC Press, 1998.

[8] Brian R. Lawn, *Mechanical metallurgy*, Cambridge Solid State Science Series.

[9] Donald R. Askeland and Wendelin J. Wright, *Essentials of Materials Science and Engineering*, SI Edition.

[10] E. Karpas and F. Kun, *Disorder-induced brittle-to-quasibrittle transition in fiber bundles*, EPL, **95**, **16004**, 2011.

[11] Srutarshi Pradhan and Alex Hansen, *Failure properties of loaded fiber bundles having a lower cutoff in fiber threshold distribution*, Phys. Rev. E **72**, **026111**, August 2005.